

PROGRESS REPORT

Third

(Project SR-110)

on

THE INFLUENCE OF HEAT TREATMENT ON THE NOTCHED - BAR PROPERTIES OF SEMIKILLED STEEL PLATE

by

R. H. FRAZIER, F. W. BOULGER and C. H. LORIG Battelle Memorial Institute

Transmitted through

COMMITTEE ON SHIP STEEL

Advisory to

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

SERIAL NO. **SSC-71** BuShips Project NS-011-078

National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council Washington, D. C.

Division of Engineering and Industrial Research

March 15, 1954

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

MEMBER AGENCIES:

BUREAU OF SHIPS, DEPT. OF NAVY MILITARY SEA TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, DEPT. OF NAVY United States Coast Guard, Treasury Dept, Maritime Administration, Dept. of Commerce American Bureau of Shipping ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO: SECRETARY SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE U. 5. COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

March 15, 1954

Dear Sir:

As part of its research program related to the improvement of hull structures of ships, the Ship Structure Committee is sponsoring an investigation of the influence of deoxidation and composition on properties of semikilled steel ship plate at the Battelle Memorial Institute. Herewith is a copy of the Third Progress Report, SSC-71, of the investigation, entitled "The Influence of Heat Treatment on the Notched-Bar Properties of Semikilled Steel Plate" by R. H. Frazier, F. W. Boulger and C. H. Lorig.

The project is being conducted with the advisory assistance of the Committee on Ship Steel of the National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council.

Any questions, comments, criticism or other matters pertaining to the Report should be addressed to the Secretary, Ship Structure Committee.

This Report is being distributed to those individuals and agencies associated with and interested in the work of the Ship Structure Committee.

Yours sincerely,

K.K.Cowark

K. K. COWART Rear Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard Chairman, Ship Structure Committee

ļ

THIRD Progress Report (Project SR-110)

on

The Influence of Heat Treatment on the Notched-Bar Properties of Semikilled Steel Plate

\$

Ъy

R. H. Frazier F. W. Boulger C. H. Lorig

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

under

Department of the Navy Bureau of Ships NObs-53239 BuShips Project No. NS-011-078

for

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

TABLE OF CORRENTS

																	Page
Table of Contents	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
List of Figures	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	ii
List of Tables	•	•	•	ą	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	iii
Introduction	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	l
Material	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	2
Heat Treatment	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	7
Microstructure	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	11
Influence of Heat Treatme	ent	t c	n	Τe	aı	? -]	les	st	Pr	oi	oer	t	Les	3	•	•	19
Influence on Keyhole Char	rpj	t I	?ro	ope	ert	;ie	s	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	23
Comparison between Tear-S Transition Temperature	re: s	st	ar •	ıd •	Ке	əył •	10]	le •	C}	181 •	b2	т •	•	•	•	•	31
Summary	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	٠	•	•	33
References	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	35
Appendix	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠		•		•	•		•	•	•	36

i

_____.

LIST OF FIGURES

No.

Title

Page

1.	Location of Tear-Test Specimens From As-Rolled Project Steel "A"	4
2.	Keyhole Charpy Transition Curves for Longitudinal and Transverse Specimens from As-Rolled Project Steel "A"	5
3.	Heating Rate for Center of 3/4-Inch Plate. Furnace Temperature1800 F	9
4.	Microstructure after Water Quenching from Various Austenitizing Temperatures after Heating 1 1/2 hrs.	10
5.	Cooling Curves of Plates Austenitized at 1700 $^{\circ}\mathrm{F}$	12
6.	Microstructure of 3/4-Inch Plates Cooled by Various Methods from an Austenitizing Temperature of 1700°F	16
7.	Effect of Austenitizing Temperature and Cooling Rate on Ferrite Grain Size	17
8.	Effect of Austenitizing Temperature and Cooling Rate on Distribution of Pearlitic Areas	18
9.	Effect of Austenitizing Temperature and Cooling Rate on Tear-Test Transition Temperature of Project Steel "A"	20
10.	Effect of Ferrite Grain Size on Tear-Test Transition Temperature	24
11.	Effect of Austenitizing Temperature and Cooling Rate on Charpy Transition Temperature of Steels Cooled by Various Methods	28
12.	Effect of Ferrite Grain Size on Keyhole Charpy Transition Temperature of Project Steel "A", Cooled at Different Rates	29
13.	Comparison of Tear-Test Transition Temperatures and 12-foot-pound Keyhole Charpy Transition Temperatures of a Heat-Treated Ship Plate Steel	32

LIST OF TABLES

<u>No .</u>	Title	Page
1.	Summary of Tear-Test Results from As-Rolled Project Steel "A"	6
2.	Ferrite Grain Sizes of Specimens of Project Steel "A" Plate Heated at Various Temperatures and Cooled at Various Rates to Room Temperature	13
3.	Pearlite Distribution in Specimens of Project Steel "A" Plate after Heat Treatment	15
4.	Summary of Tear-Test Transition Temperatures of Project Steel "A" Plates Heat Treated in Various Ways .	21
5.	Summary of Tear-Test Transition Temperatures of Project Steel "A" Plates Austenitized at 1500°F for 8 Hours	22
6.	Tear-Test Properties of Project Steel "A" after Heat Treatment	25
7.	Summary of Keyhole Charpy Properties of Project Steel "A" Plates after Various Heat Treatments	27
A-1	Tear Test Data for Project Steel "A" in the As-Rolled Condition	A-1
∆ - 2	Keyhole Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A" in the As-Rolled Condition	A - 3
Â=3	Tear-Test Data for Project Steel "A" Heat Treated at 1500°F for 1 1/2 Hours	А-4
А-Ц	Tear-Test Data for Project Steel "A" Heat Treated at 1600°F for 1 1/2 Hours	A-6
A-5	Tear-Test Data for Project Steel "A" Heat Treated at 1700°F for 1 1/2 Hours	A-8
A-6	Tear-Test Data for Project Steel "A" Heat Treated at 1800°F for 1 1/2 Hours	A-10
h7	Tear-Test Data for Project Steel "A" Heat Treated at 1900°F for 1 1/2 Hours	A-12
A-8	Tear-Test Data for Project Steel "A" Heat Treated at 1500°F for 3 Hours	A-14

No.		Title							Page
A-9	Keyhole Heat	Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A Treated at 1500°F for 1 1/2 Hours	17 •	•	•	•	•	•	A-16
A-10	Keyh ol e Heat	Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A Treated at 1600°F for 1 1/2 Hours	11 •	•	•	•	•		A-17
A-11	Keyhole Heat	Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A Treated at 1700°F for 1 1/2 Hours	11 •	٠	•		•	٠	A-18
A - 12	Keyhole Heat	Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A Trested at 1800°F for 1 1/2 Hours	11 •	•	٠	•	•	•	A-19
A -1 3	Keyhole Heat	Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A Treated at 1900°F for 1 1/2 Hours	H •	٠	•	•	٠	٠	A-20
A-14	Keyhole Heat	Charpy Impact Data for Project Steel "A Treated at 1500°F for 8 Hours	11			•	•		A-21

. .

THE INFLUENCE OF HEAT TREATMENT ON THE NOTCHED-BAR PROPERTIES OF SEMIKILLED STELL PLATE

INTRODUCTION

Earlier work⁽¹⁾ on ship plate steels indicated that the temperature at which ship plate is finished has a very significant effect on notched-bar properties. Plates rolled in the laboratory, where the finishing temperature can be carefully controlled, showed a $16^{\circ}F$ decrease in tear-test transition temperature when the finishing temperature was lowered $200^{\circ}F$. The same plates showed a drop of $10^{\circ}F$ in the keyhole Charpy transition temperature from the same decrease in finishing temperature. Commercially finished plates⁽²⁾ exhibit a similar change in transition temperatures with finishing temperature.

When the ferrite grain size of the laboratory plates was determined, a close relationship was found between the ferrite grain size, as determined by the counting method⁽³⁾, and the notched-bar transition temperature. Converting the grain counts to ASTM numbers meant changes of 30° and 60° F in keyhole Charpy and tear-test transition temperatures, respectively⁽⁴⁾. The 30° F change in keyhole Charpy transition temperature agrees with the findings on low-carbon steels of Hodge, Manning, and Reichhold⁽⁵⁾ despite the differences in composition of the steels.

The cooling rate after rolling varies from one steel plant to another. This variation changes the microstructure⁽³⁾ and appeared very likely to affect the notched-bar properties of the steel plates. From these facts, a comprehensive study of the effect of austenitizing temperature and cooling rate on notched-bar properties of ship plate steel seemed desirable. Therefore, the study was conducted under the Department of the Navy, Bureau of Ships Contract NObs-53239, Index No. NS-Oll-078. Results of this study can be used to estimate the effect of rolling temperature and of cooling rates from rolling temperatures on the notched-bar properties of semikilled steel plate.

MATERIAL

The semikilled steel plate used in this investigation was a 3/4-inch, hot-rolled plate from an open-hearth heat. Other plates from this heat have been used on many other studies performed for the Ship Structure Committee^(6,7,8), and the heat has been identified as Project Steel "A". The chemical composition of the plate⁽⁸⁾ is 0.25 per cent carbon, 0.49 per cent manganese, 0.011 per cent phosphorus, 0.045 per cent sulfur, 0.04 per cent silicon, and 0.004 per cent nitrogen. The tensile strength of the plate is 58,650 psi, with an elongation of 33.4 per cent in eight inches.

-2-

The Navy tear-test properties of the as-rolled plate were determined at Battelle using 48 specimens divided into four groups as shown by the diagram in Figure 1. Each group was tested as an individual steel. Four tear-test specimens from each group were broken at +70°, +80°, and +90°F. Test data from individual tests are shown in Table A-1 of the Appendix. The results of these tests are summarized in Table 1. When tested at +90°F, all 16 specimens had fractured surfaces exhibiting more than 50 per cent ductile type of failure. At +70°F, 15 of the 16 specimens showed a 50 per cent or more cleavage fracture. This is a very sudden transition in fracture texture, much sharper than is characteristic of most Half of the specimens were brittle at 80°F; this steels. would be the transition temperature: the temperature corresponding to a probability of 50 per cent brittle tests. Based on the definition of transition temperature as the highest temperature at which one or more specimens out of four are brittle, the transition temperatures of the various groups are 80°, 70°, 80°, and 80°F, respectively. This is the definition recommended by Kahn and Imbembo⁽⁸⁾. Kahn⁽⁸⁾ reported the transition temperature of this steel as 70°F.

The keyhole Charpy transition curve as determined at Battelle for this steel in the hot-rolled condition is shown in Figure 2. The properties in two directions were determined

-3-

1								
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		● BI	- 0	0	-• 0 42	• В2	• 0 2	0 D2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	о В3	0 C3	0 D3	0 43	о В4	0 (4	0 D4	• 04
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	O C5	0 05	0 45	О В5	0 60	0	0 46	о в6
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
¥	• 0 07	• • •	о в7	0 C7	0 D8	• 0 48	_• О В8	• 0 83
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	- 0 49	• В9	• 0 09	• 0 9	-• 0 AIO	• О В 10	-• 0 0 0	• 0 010
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	О В I I	0 C			О В 12	0 C12	0 D12	0 A 12

FIGURE 1. LOCATION OF TEAR-TEST SPECIMENS FROM AS-ROLLED PROJECT STEEL "A"

A-6664

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

Rolling Direction

•,



BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

			Energy,	foot-pounds	Average	Number
Group Number	Testing Temperature, F	Maximum Load, pounds	To Start Fracture	To Propagate Fracture	Per Cent Shear in Fracture	of Brittle Specimen
А	70	37, 100	74.0	200	21	A
А	80	36, 425	735	350	45	
А	90	37, 125	740	610	79	0
В	70	36,990	755	85	13	4
в	80	36,240	690	610	76	- 0
В	90	36, 810	710	610	82	0
С	70	37,625	790	310	37	3
С	80	36, 760	700	300	38	3
С	90	36, 550	720	670	81	0
D	70	36,710	705	100	13	4
D	80	37,040	710	285	40	3
D	90	37, 425	720	710	84	0
A11	70	37,105	750	175	23	15
A11	80	36,615	710	385	50	8
A11	90	36,980	720	650	82	0

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF TEAR-TEST RESULTS FROM AS-ROLLED PROJECT STEEL "A".

by specimens notched normal to the plate surface. The Charpy value of the transverse specimen is never as large as the value in the longitudinal direction. The transition temperature at the 20-foot-pound level is 34°F for the longitudinal specimens and approximately 160°F for the transverse direction. The 20-foot-pound value is in the flat portion of the transition curve for the transverse specimens and is not a good criterion to use for transition temperature.

Frequency distribution plots of Charpy values for steels of this type indicate that a minimum point in the frequency curve occurs at approximately the 12-foot-pound level⁽⁹⁾. At the 12-foot-pound level, the respective transition temperatures of longitudinal and transverse specimens are $10^{\circ}F$ and $34^{\circ}F$. The temperature for the 12-foot-pound level will be used for comparisons in this report. Boodberg and others⁽⁶⁾ reported the temperatures of the 20- and the 12-foot-pound levels as $+20^{\circ}$ and $+8^{\circ}F$, respectively for longitudinal specimens. Tests made at Pennsylvania State College on plate from the same heat of steel showed the temperature for the two energy levels as $+10^{\circ}$ and $-8^{\circ}F$ ⁽⁷⁾ for longitudinal specimens.

HEAT TREATMENT

The heat treating was performed in a large electric furnace which had sufficient heating capacity to heat treat at least six 6- by 12 1/2-inch sections of 3/4-inch plate. The

-7-

six plates were placed in a hot furnace on edge and separated by small sections of refractory brick splits. Since the two outer plates might have different heating and cooling rates, these plates were not used in the test program. One of the center plates contained a thermocouple for determining the heating and cooling rates that would be typical of the three remaining test plates. A typical heating curve is shown in Figure 3. Five austenitizing temperatures ranging from 1500° to 1900°F were used in this study. After the plates had been in the furnace for 1 1/2 hours, they were withdrawn and cooled by four different methods.

The 1 1/2-hour heating time was sufficient for all the plates to reach furnace temperature except those heated to 1500°F. Here the thermocouple showed a temperature of only 1480°F when 90 minutes had elapsed. The austenitic grain size resulting from the 90-minute treatments is shown by the photomicrographs in Figure 4. Since the plates treated at 1500°F for 1 1/2 hours did not reach furnace temperature, another group of plates was heat treated at 1500°F for 8 hours. The austenitic grain size, after such a treatment, was very similar to the one shown for the 1600°F treatment in Figure 4. This was a mixture of large and small grains.

The austenitic grain-coarsening temperature of this steel is about 1600°F. Both coarse and fine grains were found in the plate heated 1 1/2 hours at this temperature. Heating for

-8-





A-6666

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE



FIGURE 4. MICROSTRUCTURE AFTER WATER QUENCHING FROM VARIOUS AUSTENITIZING TEMPERATURES AFTER HEATING 1-1/2 HOURS; PICRAL ETCH BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE eight hours at 1600°F produced uniformly coarse austenite grains.

The four methods of cooling used to give different ferrite grain sizes and microstructures varied from air-blast cooling to furnace cooling. The air-blast cooling was done by placing the plates, still separated by the refractory-brick splits, in front of a large electric fan, thus cooling the plates in circulating air. Still-air cooling, done in a similar way but without the fan, produced a somewhat slower cooling rate. The third method consisted of burying the plates in vermiculite. This produced a faster cooling rate than that resulting from furnace cooling. The last and slowest cooling rate was produced by furnace cooling. Typical cooling curves are shown in Figure 5.

MICROSTRUCTURE

The ferrite grain sizes of the heat-treated steels were determined by counting the number of ferrite grains in a 4square-inch area of a photomicrograph taken at 100 diameters and dividing by four. The counts of longitudinal and transverse direction were in good agreement, as shown in Table 2. In addition to changing the ferrite grain size, the heat treatments change the pearlite distribution and spacing. The pearlite distribution was measured by counting the patches of pearlite in the same areas used for the ferrite grain-size

-11-



∢

Г

FIGURE 5. COOLING CURVES OF PLATE AUSTENITIZED AT 1700 F

A-6667

Austenitizing		Fer	rite Grain Size,	
Temperature,	Type of	Grains pe	r Square Inch a	t 100X
F	Cooling	Longitudinal	Transverse	Average
	Furnace 1	fime — 1-1/2 Ho	urs	
1500	Air blast	90	96	93
1500	Still air	139	108	123
1500	Vermiculite	82	82	82
1500	Furnace	82	98	93
1600	Air blast	126	131	128
1600	Still air	98	100	99
1600	Vermiculite	57	48	51
1600	Furnace	56	62	59
1700	Air blast	114	139	126
1700	Still air	87	84	85
1700	Vermiculite	48	36	42
1700	Furnace	28	37	31
1800	Air blast	60	64	62
1800	Still air	51	46	48
1800	Vermiculite	21	23	22
1800	Furnace	18	20	19
1900	Air blast	52	61	56
1900	Still air	45	47	46
1900	Vermiculite	26	22	24
1900	Furnace	18	21	19
	Furnace	Time - 8 Hours	3	
1500	Air blast	105	86	95
1500	Still air	86	77	81
1500	Vermiculite	64	64	64
1500	Furnace	41	44	42

TABLE 2.FERRITE GRAIN SIZES OF SPECIMENS OF PROJECT STEEL"A" PLATE HEATED AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES ANDCOOLED AT VARIOUS RATES TO ROOM TEMPERATURE

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

counts. This count was also divided by four to give pearlite areas per square inch at 100 diameters, as shown in Table 3. Pearlite spacing was not determined quantitatively, but microscopic examination of the specimens indicated a variation in spacing with the different cooling rates. The spacing was wider for slower cooling rates.

The variations in ferrite grain size and pearlite distribution are shown by the photomicrographs in Figure 6. These are longitudinal sections austenitized at 1700° F for $1 \ 1/2$ hours. The space between the lamellae in the pearlite increased with slower cooling rates. The size of the ferrite grains increased with decreases in cooling rate. These samples were etched to show the ferrite grain boundaries and pearlite distribution, but do not show the lamellae of the pearlite plainly.

The effects of austenitizing temperature and various cooling rates on ferrite grain size and pearlite distribution are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. Of course, many other changes in microstructure occur when steels are cooled from various temperatures and at various rates. One of the most noticeable changes is the distance between bands of pearlite; the slower the cooling rate, the wider the bands. This change is accompanied by a variation in the size of the pearlite areas; therefore, one characteristic is related to the other. For the purpose of this study, the ferrite grain size and the number of pearlitic areas were used as parameters.

-14-

Austenitizing	Number of Pearlite Areas per Square Inch at 100X							
Temperature, F	Cooled in Air Blast	Cooled in Still Air	Cooled in Vermiculite	Furnace Cooled				
	Furna	ce Time — 1-	1/2 Hours					
1500	80	64	29	35				
1600	46	41	21	25				
1700	49	41	18	12				
1800	29	25	8	9				
1900	27	24	11	8				
	Fur	nace Time	8 Hours					
1500	48	41	28	18				

TABLE 3. PEARLITE DISTRIBUTION IN SPECIMENS OF PROJECTSTEEL "A" PLATE AFTER HEAT TREATMENT

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

····



FIGURE 6. MICROSTRUCTURE OF 3/4-INCH PLATES COOLED BY VARIOUS METHODS FROM AN AUSTENITIZING TEM-PERATURE OF 1700 F; NITAL ETCH



FIGURE 7. EFFECT OF AUSTENITIZING TEMPERATURE AND COOLING RATE ON FERRITE GRAIN SIZE

A-6668

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE



FIGURE 8. EFFECT OF AUSTENITIZING TEMPERATURE AND COOLING RATE ON DISTRIBUTION OF PEARLITIC AREAS

A-6669

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

INFLUENCE OF HEAT TREATMENT ON TEAR-TEST PROPERTIES

Sufficient material was heat treated to prepare twelve tear-test specimens representing each condition. In a few cases, unfortunately, some of the specimens were lost in their preparation. The remaining ones were broken at various temperatures to determine transition temperatures. The transition temperature was defined, in this case, as the highest temperature where 25 per cent or more of the specimens are brittle. This is the method recommended by Kahn⁽⁸⁾ and was used because of the limited number of specimens from the heat-treated plates available for this study. Additional work in progress at Battelle suggests there are some advantages in defining tear-test transition temperatures on the basis of 50 per cent probability of cleavage fracture.

The results of each test are shown in Tables A-3 through A-8 of the Appendix. A summary of the transition temperatures for the plates heated 1 1/2 hours is shown in Table 4. For the plates heated 8 hours at 1500°F, the transition temperatures are listed in Table 5. The transition temperatures for the plates cooled at a faster rate appear to have been lowered by the increase in heating time; the furnace-cooled plates indicate the opposite effect. Figure 9 shows the influence of austenitizing temperature on the tear-test transition temperature of the heat-treated steel.

-19-



FIGURE 9. EFFECT OF AUSTENITIZING TEMPERATURE AND COOLING RATE ON TEAR TEST TRANSITION TEMPERATURE OF PROJECT STEEL "A"

A-6670

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF TEAR-TEST TRANSITION TEMPERATURES OF PROJECT STEEL "A" PLATES HEAT TREATED IN VARIOUS WAYS

	Т	ear-Test Tra	nsition Tem	perature, F	(1)
Type of		Austenitizir	ng Temperat	ture, F	
Cooling	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900
Air blast	100(2)	50	80	100	110
Still air	₉₀ (2)	50	80	110	110
Vermiculite	110(2)	60(2)	120	130	140
Furnace	70	100	120	130	140

(1) The tear-test transition temperature is defined as being the highest temperature where one or more of four specimens breaks with less than 50 per cent of the fracture area exhibiting a dull or fibrous texture.

(2) Transition temperatures are based on limited data. Only one to three ductile specimens were tested at temperatures 10 degrees higher than the transition temperature reported. These temperatures will not be used in the study.

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

TABLE 5. SUMMARY OF TEAR-TEST TRANSITION TEMPERATURES OF PROJECT STEEL "A" PLATES AUSTENITIZED AT 1500 F FOR 8 HOURS

Tear-Test Transition(1) Temperature, F
70
80
110
100

(1) The tear-test transition temperature is defined as being the highest temperature where one or more of four specimens breaks with less than 50 per cent of the fractured area exhibiting a dull or fibrous texture.

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

When the ferrite grain size of the steels is considered, it appears that the steels with the coarser grains have the highest transition temperature, as shown in Figure 10. The transition temperature decreases 10°F for an increase of 12 grains per 0.01-inch-square area.

Heat treatment also affected the maximum load necessary to break the test specimen, the energy absorbed by the specimen before maximum load, and the energy absorbed after the maximum load was reached. Table 6 is a summary of these properties. The maximum load was decreased by an increase in austenitizing temperature and slower cooling rates. Since this load is a crude measurement of the ultimate strength and ductility, it is difficult to decide which property was affected most by the heat treatment. A general tendency exists for the amount of energy required to initiate and propagate the fracture to decrease with an increase in austenitizing temperature and slower cooling rate. These properties are dependent on the maximum load, so therefore should follow the same pattern as maximum load.

INFLUENCE ON KEYHOLE CHARPY PROPERTIES

Four keyhole Charpy specimens representing each heat treatment were broken at each 10°F temperature interval throughout the transition range. The specimens were parallel to the direction of rolling and were notched perpendicular to

-23-



FIGURE IO. EFFECT OF FERRITE GRAIN SIZE ON TEAR-TEST TRANSITION TEMPERATURE

A-8671

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

Austenitizing Temperature, F	Type of Cooling	Maximum Load, pounds	Energy to Initiate Fracture, foot-pounds(1)	Energy to Propagate Fracture, foot-pounds(1)
1500	A + 1 + /	27 400	rac(2)	(20(2)
1500	Air blast	37,480	580(-)	630(2)
1500	Still air	36,610	720(-7)	630(2)
1500	Vermiculite	33,480	730(2)	700\2/
1500	Furnace	33, 580	690	530
1600	Air blast	37, 290	810	650
1600	Still air	36.350	745	550
1600	Vermiculite	32,990	770(2)	510(2)
1600	Furnace	31,472	620	560
1700		2/ 025	700	110
1700	Air blast	36,025	780	660
1700	Still air	35, 235	700	580
1700	Vermiculite	31,390	580	490
1700	Furnace	31,430	600	500
1800	Air blast	33,870	730	645
1800	Still air	31. 180	725	660
1800	Vermiculite	31, 350	600	490
1800	Furnace	30, 580	590	485
1000	A.J 1.1	25 (40	600	6 4 5
1900	AIT DIASU	33,040	770	640
1900	Still air	34,900		010
1900	Vermiculite	30,410	540	490
1900	Furnace	29,960	535	470

TABLE 6. TEAR-TEST PROPERTIES OF PROJECT STEEL "A" AFTER HEAT TREATMENT

(1) Average of the results from the four ductile specimens broken 10 F above the transition temperature.

(2) Average, based on limited number of tests.

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

. ...

the plate surface. As shown by Figure 2, the Charpy value at room temperature is well above 25 foot-pounds for the asrolled plate in the longitudinal direction. However, many of the heat treatments reduced the values to approximately 20 foot-pounds, far above the transition temperature; therefore, a 12-foot-pound transition value was used. Table 7 is a summary of the transition temperatures for the 10-, 12-, 15-, and 20-foot-pound criteria. The Charpy value at 80°F is also shown for comparison. Results of individual tests are reported in Tables A-9 through A-14 in the Appendix.

The effect of austenitizing temperature on the 12-footpound transition temperature is shown in Figure 11. Lowering the temperature from 1900°F to 1800°F had no significant effect. The major change in transition temperature, with austenitizing temperature, occurred between 1800° and 1600°F. In most cases, the longer austenitizing time at 1500°F gave a lower transition temperature. The effect of cooling rate appeared to be far more important than austenitizing temperature. It must be remembered from Figure 5 that the major change in cooling rate was between the plates cooled in still air and those cooled in vermiculite. This is also reflected in Figure 11 where the major change in transition temperature occurred between the same two types of cooling.

The relationships between ferrite grain size and Charpy transition temperature are shown in Figure 12. For plates

-26-

Austenitizing		Charpy	<u> </u>	Transition Ter	nperature, F	فلدي وقلب مركا مركات
Temperature,	Type of	Value	10-ft-1b	12-ft-1b	15-ft-lb	20-ft-1b
F	Cooling	at 80 F	Level	Level	Level	Level
D	As-Rolled	26.3	4	10	19	34
>		Furnace Time	e = 1 - 1/2 Hours	S		
1500	Air blast	22,8	33	- 37	43	54
1500	Still air	23.0	24	29	35	51
1500	Vermiculite	13.8	65	71	79	93
1500	Furnace	16.3	63	69	79	95
1600	Air blast	26.5	2	7	12	22
1600	Still air	27.0	2	6	13	29
1600	Vermiculite	16.5	58	64	72	92
: 1600	Furnace	12.8	69	75	84	102
1700	Air blast	28,5	2	8	15	28
1700	Still air	25.0	19	25	33	47
1700	Vermiculite	15.3	69	76	86	105
1700	Furnace	8.8	77	83	92	106
1800	Air blast	20.0	34	42	54	75
1800	Still air	20.5	39	43	49	72
1800	Vermiculite	7.8	83	88	97	117
1800	Furnace	8.0	87	93	103	122
1900	Air blast	24.5	35	40	48	60
1900	Still air	24.5	33	35	39	55
1900	Vermiculite	9.3	82	87	95	113
1900	Furnace	8.5	87	95	105	126
		Furnace Tin	me - 8 Hours			
1500	Air blast	26.0	10	15	21	32
1500	Still air	26.0	8	12	19	34
1500	Vermiculite	19.8	53	57	65	82
1500	Furnace	11.8	67	74	84	99

TABLE 7. SUMMARY OF KEYHOLE CHARPY PROPERTIES OF PROJECT STEEL "A" PLATESAFTER VARIOUS HEAT TREATMENTS

1



FIGURE II. EFFECT OF AUSTENITIZING TEMPERATURE AND COOLING RATE ON CHARPY TRANSITION TEMPERATURE OF STEELS COOLED BY VARIOUS METHODS

A- 6672



FIGURE 12. EFFECT OF FERRITE GRAIN SIZE ON KEYHOLE CHARPY TRANSITION TEMPERATURE OF PROJECT STEEL "A" COOLED AT DIFFERENT RATES. The variation in grain size was obtained by using different austenitizing temperatures and cooling rates.

A-6673

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

cooled at equal rates, the transition temperature decreased regularly with grain size. As in the previous discussion, the plates can be considered representative of two significantly different cooling rates. The steels cooled fairly rapidly, in still air or by an air blast, showed the same influence of grain size. The Charpy transition temperature decreased about 30° F for an increase of one ASTM number. This value agrees with data reported by previous investigators^(4,5).

The plates cooled slowly in vermiculite or in the furnace behaved approximately alike. The effect of ferrite grain size on the Charpy transition temperature of these steels is less pronounced than for the other group. The transition temperature decreased only 13°F for each ASTM number in the case of the materials cooled fairly slowly from the austenitizing temperature.

For ferrite grain sizes approximating ASTM No. 6 1/2, the Charpy transition temperature is about 30° F higher for the plates cooled at the slower rate.

The four points for the plates heated to 1500°F for 1 1/2 hours do not fit the curves for steels containing coarser austenite grains. The fine austenite grain size in this case appears to be detrimental to transition temperature.

<u>COMPARISON BETWEEN TEAR TEST AND KEYHOLE CHARPY</u> <u>TRANSITION TEMPERATURES</u>

It seems natural to expect a correlation between transition temperatures established by different kinds of notchedbar tests. At least, several investigators have suggested formulas for estimating Charpy Keyhole transition temperatures from data obtained with other notch types or at other energy levels. Conversions of this kind can be misleading. Earlier experiments on this project showed that a particular change in nitrogen or manganese content does not have the same effect on the transition temperature in Keyhole Charpy tests as it does in tear tests. That is, the difference between the two transition temperatures is influenced by chemical composition. The present study shows that the relationship between the transition temperatures of a particular steel in the Charpy and in the tear test is also influenced by microstructure. This conclusion is illustrated by Figure 13.

Figure 13 compares the transition temperatures determined in the tear test with those set by the 12-foot-pound Charpy level. It shows that specimens cooled relatively rapidly fit a trend line different from that for the plates cooled quite slowly from the same austenitizing temperatures. The graph indicates that changing the rate of cooling can cause a variation of about 35°F in Charpy transition temperature between plates of this steel having the same transition



0-21788

temperature in tear tests. Similarly, two plates having 12 foot-pound Charpy values at the same temperature could perform quite differently in tear tests.

If the slight differences in slopes of the trend lines in Figure 13 are neglected, it appears that the principal effect of slow cooling is to raise the Charpy transition temperature. As discussed previously, slow cooling increased the size of the pearlite patches and the distance between pearlite bands. Therefore, the data show that the Charpy test is more sensitive than the tear test to these variations in microstructure. The results for this steel in the heattreated conditions emphasize the dangers of converting transition temperatures for different types of tests.

SUMMARY

The results of this work may be summarized as follows:

- 1. The average ferrite grain size was found to be dependent on the cooling rate as well as the austenitizing temperature.
- 2. The number of pearlite areas was also found to be dependent on both the cooling rate and austenitizing temperature.

- 3. The tear-test transition temperature was found to depend entirely on ferrite grain size, regardless of the pearlite distribution or other variations resulting from different types of cooling. An increase of 12 ferrite grains per square inch of image at 100X means an increase of 1 degree F in transition temperature. The maximum load and the energy required to start or to propagate fracture were decreased by increases in austenitizing temperature and by decrease in cooling rate.
- 4. The keyhole Charpy transition temperature is dependent upon the ferrite grain size, whether changed by the austenitizing temperature or the cooling rate. There is also a reflection of the austenite grain size in the transition temperature. The ferrite grain size has the greatest effect on transition temperature when the steels are air cooled. Here, the change is approximately 30°F for each ASTM grain-size number.
- 5. The relationship between tear-test transition temperature and keyhole Charpy transition temperature is good only when there is no major change in microstructure, as is the case when the cooling rate has been greatly changed. Other factors such as composition may also change this relationship.

٩

REFERENCES

- 1. Banta, H. M., Frazier, R. H., and Lorig, C. H. "Some Metallurgical Aspects of Ship Steel Quality", <u>The Welding</u> <u>Journal 30</u> (2), Research Supplement, 79-s--90-s (1951).
- 2. Epstein, S. "Notch Resistance of Carbon-Steel Ship Plate", Reprint of Paper Presented at the Philadelphia Regional Meeting of AISI, (1951).
- 3. Campbell, J. E., Frazier, R. H., and McIntire, H. O. "Ferrite-Grain-Size Measurements for Ship Plate Stteel", <u>The Welding Journal</u>, <u>31</u> (2), Research Supplement, 78-s--94-s (1952).
- 4. Boulger, F. W., and Frazier, R. H. "Some Metallurgical Aspects of Low Temperature Behavior of Metals," Paper presented at a Conference on Materials and Design for Low-Temperature Service, Sponsored by the Scientific Council, Engineer Research and Development Laboratories, Fort Belvoir, Virginia, May 1952.
- 5. Hodge, J. M., Manning, R. D., and Reichhold, H. M. "The Effect of Ferrite Grain Size on Notch Toughness", <u>Trans</u>. <u>Am. Inst. Mining Met. Engrs. 185</u>, 233-240 (March 1949).
- 6. Boodberg, A., Davis, H. E., Parker, E. R. and Troxell, G. E., "Causes of Cleavage Fracture in Ship Plate--Tests of Wide Notched Plates", <u>The Welding Journal</u>, <u>27</u> (4), Research Supplement, 186-s--199-s (1948).
- 7. Klier, E. P., Wagner, F. C., and Gensamer, M. "The Correlation of Laboratory Tests With Full-Scale Ship Plate Fracture Tests," <u>The Welding Journal</u>, <u>27</u> (2), Research Supplement, 71-s--96-s (1948).
- 8. Kahn, N. A., and Imbembo, E. A. "A Method of Evaluating Transition From Shear to Cleavage Failure in Ship Plate and Its Correlation With Large Scale Plate Tests", <u>The Welding</u> <u>Journal</u>, <u>27</u> (4), Research Supplement, 169-s--182-s (1948).
- 9. Vanderbeck, R. W. "Evaluating Carbon Plate Steels by the Keyhole Charpy Impact Test", <u>The Welding Journal 30</u> (1), Research Supplement, 59-s--64-s (1951).

APPENDIX

--- - --

_

٠

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
70	37,350	810	80	11
70	36,700	680	230	22
70	37, 350	820	90	10
70	37,000	650	410	42
70	37,050	770	90	13
70.	37,450	780	90	8
70	36, 550	670	50	14
70	36,900	800	110	15
70	37,300	820	220	24
70	38, 150	820	120	11
70	37,350	730	330	36
70	37,700	800	580	76
70	35,800	680	80	13
70	37,250	790	80	10
70	36,900	680	170	20
70	36,900	670	60	10
80	36,100	640	630	85
80	36,050	720	110	11
80	37,300	940	580	70
80	36,250	630	70	15
80	36,100	660	480	56
80	36,800	770 .	690	85
80	35,850	650	670	85
80	36,200	680	600	78
• •				
80	36,550	690	380	40
80	36,300	610	340	48
80	37,150	800	430	51
80	37,050	690	50	12
00	26 000	470	250	40
00 90	26 200	610	90	
00	20,200	000	0V 160	20
80	20,120	000	120	3U 70
ðV	20,900	000	VOC	(7

TABLE A-1. TEAR-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" IN THE AS-ROLLED CONDITION

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
90	36,000	660	630	82
90	37,200	800	630	77
90	37,250	700	610	76
90	37,050	790	570	82
90	35, 700	610	630	85
90	36,800	680	600	80
90	37,050	780	600	81
90	37, 700	780	620	80
90	36,500	800	630	84
90	36,600	660	630	84
90	36,850	780	790	82
90	36,250	630	630	74
90	36,400	720	680	77
90	37,400	790	570	83
90	39, 950	730	930	89
90	35, 950	640	670	85

TABLE A-1. (Continued)

.._.

Testing		Chai	rpy Value,	foot-pounds	
Temperature,		Specimen	Number	*	
F	1	2	3	4	Average
			_		
	Tra	insverse D	irection		
20	6	5			5,5
40	14	16	15	~-	15.0
60	16	15			15.5
80	17	16	17		16.7
120	18	18		~ -	18.0
140	19	20			19.5
150	19	19	20	19	19.3
160	20	20	20	20	20.0
	Lon	gitudinal I	Direction		
-20	3	3			3.0
0	10	11	10	12	10.8
20	15	18	6	17	14.0
30	20	19	8	21	17.0
40	23	23	20		21.5
80	27	26	26		26.3
		·			

TABLE A-2. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" IN THE AS-ROLLED CONDITION

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Co	oled in Air B	last	
		oled in All D		
30	38,400	780	60	2
40	37,600	690	60	1
50	37,400	730	190	10
60	37,650	760	620	75
60	38,000	870	60	3
60	37, 350	820	530	65
70	37,600	780	70	5
80	36,600	720	50	5
90	37,900			80
90	37,000	740	600	62
90	36,800	780	70	12
100	36,000	580	630	75
	<u>Co</u>	oled in Still A	Air	
20	36, 150	780	50	1
30	35,650	780	110	2
40	36,750	680	110	1
50	37,000	700	70	1
60	37, 350	790	70	1
70	36,600	670	60	2
80	37 050	730	660	80
80	37,200	690	40	2
90	37, 300	840	80	12

TABLE A-3. TEAR-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1500 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

.....

Temperature, F Load, pounds To Start Fracture To Propagate Fracture Shear in Fracture Cooled in Still Air Cooled in Still Air 100 34,900 690 580 80 100 36,100 720 680 85 100 36,250 740 620 75 Cooled in Vermiculite Cooled in Vermiculite Cooled in Vermiculite 60 34,950 730 40 2 70 34,150 750 40 2 70 34,850 740 40 3 80 34,350 750 560 70 80 34,000 870 50 2 90 33,200 730 50 2 90 32,250 720 360 62 100 32,750 860 200 35 110 31,350 720 30 2 120 32,500 820 600 75 <	Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
F pounds Fracture Fracture in Fracture Cooled in Still Air 100 34,900 690 580 80 100 36,100 720 680 85 100 36,250 740 620 75 Cooled in Vermiculite 60 34,950 730 40 2 70 34,150 750 40 2 70 34,850 740 40 3 80 34,350 750 560 70 80 34,000 870 50 2 90 33,200 730 50 2 90 32,250 720 360 62 100 32,750 860 200 35 110 31,350 720 30 2 120 32,500 820 600 75 120 32,500 830 100 3 <	Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
Cooled in Still Air100 $34,900$ 690 580 80 100 $36,100$ 720 680 85 100 $36,250$ 740 620 75 Cooled in Vermiculite 60 $34,950$ 730 40 2 70 $34,150$ 750 40 2 70 $34,350$ 750 560 70 80 $35,650$ 850 540 72 80 $34,000$ 870 50 2 90 $33,200$ 730 50 2 90 $33,200$ 730 50 2 90 $32,750$ 860 200 35 110 $31,350$ 720 30 2 120 $31,800$ 640 800 75 120 $35,650$ 890 50 3 70 $35,650$ 890 50 3 70 $35,050$ 780 70 3 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,850$ 720 490 63	F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
Cooled in Still Air 100 34,900 690 580 80 100 36,100 720 680 85 Cooled in Vermiculite Cooled in Vermiculite 60 34,950 730 40 2 70 34,150 750 40 2 70 34,850 740 40 3 80 34,350 750 560 70 80 34,350 750 560 72 90 35,650 850 540 72 90 32,250 720 360 62 100 32,750 860 200 35 110 31,350 720 30 2 120 31,800 640 800 75 Eurnace Cooled 60 35,650 890 50 3 70 35,050 780 70 3 <					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Co	oled in Still 4	Air	
100 $36, 100$ 720 680 85 100 $36, 250$ 740 620 75 $Cooled in Vermiculite$ 60 $34, 950$ 730 40 2 70 $34, 150$ 750 40 2 70 $34, 850$ 740 40 3 80 $34, 350$ 750 560 70 80 $34, 350$ 750 560 72 80 $34, 000$ 870 50 2 90 $33, 200$ 730 50 2 90 $33, 200$ 730 50 2 90 $32, 750$ 860 200 35 110 $31, 350$ 720 30 2 120 $31, 800$ 640 800 75 $I20$ $35, 650$ 890 50 3 70 $35, 650$ 780 70 3 70 $35, 050$ 780 70 3 70 $33, 750$ 830 100 3 70 $33, 250$ 720 490 63	100	34,900	690	580	80
10036, 25074062075Cooled in Vermiculite6034, 9507304027034, 1507504027034, 8507404038034, 350750560708035, 650850540728034, 0008705029033, 2007305029032, 2507203606210032, 7508602003511031, 35072030212031, 8006408007512035, 650890503Furnace Cooled6035, 650720307035, 0507807037033, 75083010037033, 2507205027031, 7505804018033, 85072049063	100	36,100	720	680	85
Cooled in Vermiculite 60 $34,950$ 730 40 2 70 $34,150$ 750 40 2 70 $34,850$ 740 40 3 80 $34,350$ 750 560 70 80 $35,650$ 850 540 72 80 $34,000$ 870 50 2 90 $33,200$ 730 50 2 90 $32,250$ 720 360 62 100 $32,750$ 860 200 35 110 $31,350$ 720 30 2 120 $31,800$ 640 800 75 Furnace CooledFurnace Cooled 60 $35,650$ 780 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,750$ 830 40 1 80 $33,850$ 720 490 63	100	36,250	740	620	75
		Cool	ed in Vermi	culite	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	60	34,950	730	40	2
70 $34, 150$ 750 40 2 70 $34, 850$ 740 40 3 80 $34, 350$ 750 560 70 80 $35, 650$ 850 540 72 80 $34, 000$ 870 50 2 90 $33, 200$ 730 50 2 90 $32, 250$ 720 360 62 100 $32, 750$ 860 200 35 110 $31, 350$ 720 30 2 120 $31, 800$ 640 800 75 120 $32, 500$ 820 600 75 Furnace CooledFurnace Cooled 60 $35, 650$ 780 70 $33, 750$ 830 100 3 70 $35, 050$ 720 50 2 70 $33, 750$ 830 100 3 70 $33, 250$ 720 50 2 70 $31, 750$ 580 40 1 80 $33, 850$ 720 490 63	70	24 150	750	40	2
10 $34, 350$ 140 40 3 80 $34, 350$ 750 560 70 80 $35, 650$ 850 540 72 80 $34, 000$ 870 50 2 90 $33, 200$ 730 50 2 90 $32, 250$ 720 360 62 100 $32, 750$ 860 200 35 110 $31, 350$ 720 30 2 120 $31, 800$ 640 800 75 120 $32, 500$ 820 600 75 Furnace Cooled60 $35, 650$ 890 50 $33, 750$ 830 100 $33, 750$ 830 100 3 70 $35, 050$ 720 50 2 70 $31, 750$ 580 40 1 80 $33, 850$ 720 490 63	70	24 950	750	40	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	70	54,050	140	40	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80	34,350	750	560	70
80 $34,000$ 870 50 2 90 $33,200$ 730 50 2 90 $32,250$ 720 360 62 100 $32,750$ 860 200 35 110 $31,350$ 720 30 2 120 $31,800$ 640 800 75 120 $32,500$ 820 600 75 Furnace Cooled 70 $35,650$ 70 $35,050$ 780 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $31,750$ 580 40 1 80 $33,850$ 720 490 63	80	35,650	850	540	72
	80	34,000	870	50	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00	22 200	730	50	3
90 $32,250$ 720 360 62 100 $32,750$ 860 200 35 110 $31,350$ 720 30 2 120 $31,800$ 640 800 75 120 $32,500$ 820 600 75 Furnace Cooled60 $35,650$ 890 50 3 70 $35,050$ 780 70 3 70 $35,050$ 780 70 3 70 $35,050$ 720 50 2 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $31,750$ 580 40 1 80 $33,850$ 720 490 63	90	22,250	730	50 2(0	2
	90	52,250	120	300	02
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	100	32,750	860	200	35
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	110	31,350	720	30	2
120 $32,500$ 820 600 75 120 $32,500$ 820 600 75 Furnace Cooled 60 $35,650$ 890 50 3 70 $35,050$ 780 70 3 70 $33,750$ 830 100 3 70 $33,250$ 720 50 2 70 $31,750$ 580 40 1 80 $33,850$ 720 490 63	120	31,800	640	800	75
Furnace Cooled6035,6508905037035,0507807037035,75083010037033,2507205027031,7505804018033,85072049063	120	32,500	820	600	75
60 35,650 890 50 3 70 35,050 780 70 3 70 35,050 780 70 3 70 33,750 830 100 3 70 33,250 720 50 2 70 31,750 580 40 1 80 33,850 720 490 63		न्य	urnace Coole	đ	
60 35,650 890 50 3 70 35,050 780 70 3 70 33,750 830 100 3 70 33,250 720 50 2 70 31,750 580 40 1 80 33,850 720 490 63		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
70 35,050 780 70 3 70 33,750 830 100 3 70 33,250 720 50 2 70 31,750 580 40 1 80 33,850 720 490 63	60	35 , 650	890	50	3
70 33,750 830 100 3 70 33,250 720 50 2 70 31,750 580 40 1 80 33,850 720 490 63	70	35,050	780	70	3
70 33,250 720 50 2 70 31,750 580 40 1 80 33,850 720 490 63	70	33, 750	830	100	3
70 31,750 580 40 1 80 33,850 720 490 63	70	33, 250	720	50	2
80 33,850 720 490 63	70	31, 750	580	40	1
00 53,050 (20 2 70 03	80	33 950	720	400	63
80 33 100 700 540 45	80	33,050	700	77V 540	0J 65
	00 QA	32 000	660	550	60 60
	90 90	32,700	680	550	60
00 J2,700 000 J±0 09	00	J4, 700	000	UPC	07

TABLE A-3. (Continued)

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Cod	oled in Air B	ast	
40	37,200	770	380	29
50	38,150	710	650	75
50	37,800	940	70	5
50	36,950	840	630	75
50	37,000	830	130	5
60	37,700	780	640	80
60	36,250	730	600	72
60	37, 200	870	750	82
60	37,400	850	600	7 5
	Co	oled in Still A	Air	
30	37,000	820	110	3
40	36,900	780	550	70
40	36,700	710	180	10
50	37,050	790	660	77
50	35,650	730	80	2
60	35,600	710	630	80
60	36, 350	770	420	72
60	36,000	740	530	55
60	36,000	760	630	75
70	36,200	750	600	85
	Cool	ed in Vermic	ulite	
50	33, 500	720	70	2
60	34.000	800	490	65
60	32, 750	560	80	2
70	32,650	750	530	65
70	32,850	750	510	76
70	33, 700	810	500	84
			······································	

TABLE A-4. TEAR-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1600 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Cool	ed in Vermic	mlite	
80	32,400	590	430	62
90	32,050	520	520	73
	F	urnace Coole	đ	
			_	
90	31,800	590	50	12
100	31,600	590	510	72
100	31,050	560	550	75
100	31,600	580	530	70
100	31,350	580	80	5
110	31,200	570	490	75
110	31,500	630	560	77
110	31,400	620	620	75
110	31,750	670	580	75

TABLE A-4. (Continued)

. ____

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Co	oled in Air B	last	
60	36,900	930	90	4
70	36,300	740	560	70
70	36,500	830	540	80
70	36,200	860	70	3
80	35, 300	730	580	80
80	36,000	790	80	3
90	35,950	670	650	90
90	36,150	830	680	86
90	35, 350	830	590	76
90	35,650	800	730	70
	<u>C</u> o	oled in Still A	Air	
70	34,600	820	40	5
70	35,400	890	60	7
80	34, 500	610	60	10
80	34,800	650	290	25
80	35,050	640	640	80
80	35, 550	660	210	13
90	36,450	850	490	70
90	35, 500	690	620	77
90	34, 900	600	640	80
90	35,600	660	590	80
	Coo	led in Vermic	culite	
90	30, 550	510	40	1
120	31,300	500	180	10
130	30,950	590	510	80
130	32,000	610	540	80
130	31, 450	550	420	80
130	32, 100	590	500	80

TABLE A-5. TEAR-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1700 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	F	urnace Cooled	1	
90	32, 500	480	50	2
100	29,900	430	50	10
110	32,200	560	150	16
120	30, 300	520	470	79
120	30, 550	530	270	38
130	31,250	580	510	80
130	31,300	520	520	80
130	33, 350	720	490	74
130	31,500	580	480	70

TABLE A-5. (Continued)

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

......

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Co		1	
		blea in Air B	last	
90	30,650	430	340	85
100	35,200	730	70	15
100	34,350	720	120	15
100	30,200	420	390	99
100	34,500	750	80	13
110	34,600	780	560	80
110	35,350	720	660	70
110	35,200	790	730	80
110	34,800	630	630	70
	Co	oled in Still A	Air	
90	34,650	820	90	14
100	25 150		5.20	ac
100	35,150	880	520	75
100	35,100	830	170	14
110	34,300	790	580	65
110	34,400	700	100	20
110	33,400	680	450	35
120	34,400	700	700	90
120	34,200	690	700	85
120	34, 900	680	620	80
120	35,700	830	630	72
	Cool	ed in Vermic	ulite	
120	31,200	570	250	15
130	31,050	560	340	43
140	22 200	640	440	70
140	34, 300	04V 540	400 500	(7 07
140	21 400	54V 640	200	01 07
140	21,400	04V	700	00
140	51,100	200	400	04

TABLE A-6. TEAT-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1800 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

- - - -

/

A-10

A –	l	l
-----	---	---

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	${\tt Shear}$
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	F	urnace Coole	d	-
120	30,950	560	180	8
130	30, 200	550	190	15
140	30,550	560	510	80
140	29, 500	510	480	70
140	31, 100	620	520	81
140	31,200	660	430	70
	- 			

TABLE A-6. (Continued)

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

-

Testing	Testing Maximum Energy, foot-pounds					
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear		
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture		
	Co	oled in Air Bl	last			
	<u></u>					
100	36 , 500	800	100	15		
110	35, 100	650	600	75		
110	35,600	630	170	24		
110	36,500	660	620	75		
	,		-	• -		
120	36,050	680	640	80		
120	35,700	750	700	85		
120	35, 100	660	630	76		
120	35,750	680	610	89		
130	34,450	650	680	85		
	Co	oled in Still A	lir			
100	35,400	780	450	60		
110	22.700	(50	220	0 F		
110	33,700	650	230	35		
110	33, 150	600 720	570	70		
110	34,500	730	260	20		
110	35,250	790	170	25		
120	34,650	810	680	91		
120	36,500	870	630	80		
120	34,400	670	630	81		
120	35, 500	740	630	87		
130	35,950	850	730	82		
	Cool	ed in Vermic	ulite			
130	30,550	530	410	70		
140	30, 150	530	480	85		
140	30,400	490	530	80		
140	30,400	520	430	65		
140	30,000	500	450	40		

TABLE A-7. TEAR-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1900 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

Testing	Maximum	Energy,	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Cool	led in Vermic	culite	
150	30,400	550	490	77
150	30,050	520	480	85
150	30, 400	550	530	84
150	30, 250	550	470	86
160	31,500	610	480	94
	F	urnace Coole	<u>d</u>	
130	29,800	490	420	70
140	29,600	500	480	80
140	29,900	470	470	73
140	29,500	470	230	40
140	30, 100	520	380	70
150	30,350	520	480	75
150	29,800	480	460	80
150	30, 350	610	480	81
150	29,900	530	460	75
160	30, 300	520	480	79

TABLE A-7. (Continued)

.

Testing	Maximum	Energy.	foot-pounds	Per Cent
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Co	oled in Air B	last	
60	36 , 200	730	70	3
70	37,600	790	180	12
80	36,450	660	560	88
80	36, 550	720	630	82
80	37,350	770	580	83
80	36,350	700	580	77
	Co	oled in Still A	Air	
50	35,600	910	50	1
60	36,950	820	530	62
60	36,050	7 50	80	7
70	36,750	820	140	13
80	36.500	730	570	70
80	35,900	680	20	12
90	35, 750	750	590	74
90	35,450	730	530	70
90	35,700	630	500	74
90	35,600	670	570	80
	Coo	led in Vermi	culite	
60	32,000	590	30	1
80	32,650	680	70	4
100	31,950	620	60	7
110	31, 150	570	480	74
110	31,750	640	50	20
110	30,400	580	540	80
~ ~ ~	,			

TABLE A-8. TEAR-TEST DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1500 F FOR 8 HOURS

Testing	Maximum	Per Cent		
Temperature,	Load,	To Start	To Propagate	Shear
F	pounds	Fracture	Fracture	in Fracture
	Coo	led in Vermic	ulite	
120	32,450	670	510	80
120	31,750	700	540	75
120	32,500	780	550	77
120	31,850	590	580	90
	F	urnace Coole	d	
60	31,450	480	10	1
80	31, 100	490	20	1
90	32, 250	700	460	70
90	32,950	730	560	73
90	33,000	690	60	5
100	31, 700	630	520	78
100	31,900	660	50	8
100	31,850	642	70	7
110	30,650	570	430	80
110	31, 350	590	490	78
110	32, 250	690	480	75
110	30, 850	580	380	65
	-			

_

TABLE	A-8.	(Continued)
-------	------	-------------

Testing		Chai	py Value	, foot-pound	s
Temperature,		Specimen Nur	nber		······
F	1	2	3	4	Average
					
		Cooled in Air	Blast		
20	15	4	5	5	7.3
30	5	5	6	6	5.3
40	13	15	14	10	13,0
50	19	20	24	21	21.0
60	21	15	22	25	20.8
70	25	24	25	24	24.5
80	27	16	26	22	22.8
90	25	25	30	25	26.3
		Cooled in Stil	ll Air		
10	4				
10	4	3 r	4 r	4	3.8
20	4	5	5		7.8
30	19	17	15	16	16.8
40	22	6	5	20	13.3
50	21	19	21	21	20.5
60	19	21	24	22	21.5
70	22	20	22	23	21.8
80	24	21	25	22	23.0
	<u>_</u>	Cooled in Vern	niculite		
50	5	4	5	5	48
60	7	6	15	6	8.5
80	15	17	12	11	13.8
90	21	21	21	21	21.0
100	21	22	21	21	21.3
120	25	23			24
		Furnace Coo	oleđ		
		1 41 1000 000			
50	5	5	4	5	4.8
60	6	11	6	6	7,3
70	8	19	15	13	13.8
80	12	15	19	19	16.3
90	17	20	20	15	18.0
100	20	22	22	21	21.3
120	25	27			26.0

TABLE A-9. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1500 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

.....

Testing		Cha	rpy Value	, foot-poun	ds
Temperature,		Specimer	n Number		
<u> </u>	1	2	3	4	Average
	C	ooled in Ai	r Blast		
-20	4	5	6	4	4.8
-10	5	14	4	5	7.0
0	5	4	17	5	7.8
10	19	18	15	20	18.0
20	21	6	21	21	17.3
30	23	22	24	22	22.8
40	25	28	24	27	26.0
80	25	28			26.5
	<u>c</u>	ooled in St	ill Air		
-10	6	3	3	4	4.0
0	11	19	14	* 4	12.0
10	4	4	16	20	11.0
20	17	16	21	18	18,0
30	23	21	21	21	21.5
40	24	21	22	22	22.3
80	28	26			27.0
	Cod	oled in Ver	miculite		
50	4	4	4	5	4.3
60	7	16	12	17	13.0
70	18	15	7	15	13.8
80	12	18	18	18	16.5
90	17	23	19	21	20.0
100	21	23	21	21	21.5
120	23	22			22.5
		Furnace Co	ooled		
60	5	5	6	5	5.3
70	13	6	13	14	11.5
80	13	11	8	19	12.8
90	16	17	12	18	15.8
100	21	21	19	18	19.8
110	21	23	21	22	21.8
120	21	22	21	23	21.8

TABLE A-10. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1600 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

A-17

Testing	يندجني محتويب	Cha	rpy Value,	100t-pound	15
F		2	3	4	Average
					uge
	C	ooled in Ai	r Blast		
10	_	_			
-10	5	5	4	4	4.5
0	11	4	8	14	9.3
10	17	10	18	11	14.0
20	17	15	5	21	14.5
30	21	21	22	20	21.0
40	21	25	26	24	24.0
80	28	29			28.5
	C	ooled in St	ill Air		
0	3	5			4.0
10	6	10	6	6	7.0
20	12	5	11	5	8.3
30	17	18	8	16	14.8
40	21	19	19	20	19.8
50	23	20	19	17	19.8
60	23	20	21	23	21.8
70	24	23	22		23.0
80	24	26			25.0
	Cod	oled in Ver	miculite		
50	5	5	5	6	5.3
60	7	6	6	5	6.0
70	12	13	9	17	12.8
80	13	18	18	12	15.3
90	13	12	12	17	13.5
100	20	20	19	18	19.3
110	21	19	21	20	20.3
120	23	20	21	21	21.3
	<u>1</u>	Furnace Co	oled		
60	6	11	7	6	7,5
70	7	15	8	8	9.5
80	6	8	9	12	8.8
90	11	16	20	19	16.5
100	20	21	18	$\overline{21}$	20.0
110	2.1	25	17	21	21 0
120	21	24	20	24	22.3
140	30				30 0

TABLE A-11. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1700 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

.

Testing	sting Charpy Value, foot-pounds				
Temperature,		Specimer	n Number	******	
F	1	2	3	4	Average
	~		51		
	<u>c</u>	ooled in Ai	r Blast		
30	6	5	18	6	8.8
40	8	16	7	15	11.5
50	21	8	9	13	12.8
60	22	16	24	15	19.3
70	14	22	20	17	18.3
80	25	16	22	17	20.0
	ğ	ooled in St	<u>ill Air</u>		
30	7	8	5	6	6.5
40	6	8	11	11	9.0
50	23	19	9	18	17 3
60	24	17	15	18	18 5
70	20	20	21	20	20 3
80	16	22	21	23	20.5
90	29	25	24	25	25 3
100	30	27			28.5
	Cod	oled in Ver	miculite		
70	7	7	6	7	6.8
80	10	7	7	7	7.8
90	12	13	13	9	11.8
100	18	15	13	19	16.3
110	18	21	19	18	19.0
120	19	20	19	21	19.8
130	23	23	21	22	22.3
	<u> </u>	Furnace Co	ooled		
80	6	9	8	9	8_0
90	12	12	8	, 7	9.8
100	17	12	13	16	14 5
110	15	11	14	18	14 5
120	21	21	21	25	22 0
140	23	21	24	21	22.3

TABLE A-12. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1800 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

Testing	<u></u>	Cha	rpy Value,	foot-pounds	
remperature,		^o pecimen	Number		A 110 110 00
r	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>		*	Average
	С	ooled in Ai	r Blast		
		_			
20	5	5			5.0
30	7	6	12	13	9.5
40	14	15	13	12	13.5
50	13	15	7	13	12.0
60	19	22	22	20	20.8
70	24	23	19	22	22.0
80	24	25			24.5
	C	ooled in Sti	<u>11 Air</u>		
20	6	4	5	5	50
30	6	5	5	9	63
40	24	18	22	6	17 5
50	19	18	13	18	17.0
60	2.4	13	2.4	17	20.5
70	21	21			21.0
80	27	22			24.5
	Cod	oled in Ver	miculite		
70		,		~	
70	6	6	((6,5
80	7	9	13	8 1 a	9.3
90	13	14	12	13	13.0
100	17	16	19	15	16,8
110	19	20	19	21	19.8
120	22	22	19	20	20.8
130	20	21	22	21	21.0
	Ĩ	Furnace Co	oled		
70	8	5	7	6	6.5
80	7	10	12		9.7
90	7	8	7	12	8.5
100	, 11	13	16	14	13.5
110	20	10	19	21	19.8
120	14	16	±7 21	19	17 3
130	10	10	21	21	20 0
140	17	17 21	41 21	21	20.0
140	20	<u> </u>	~ <u>1</u>	ل مک	40,0

TABLE A-13. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1900 F FOR 1-1/2 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

Testing		Cha	rpy Value	, foot-poun	ds	
Temperature,		Specimen Number				
F	1	2	3	4	Average	
	Co	ooled in Ai	r Blast			
-10	5	3	3	6	4.3	
0	5	8	4	7	6.0	
10	5	18	15	18	14.0	
20	10	17	11	6	11.0	
30	22	22	24	21	22.3	
40	23	21	22	20	21.5	
80	25	27			26.0	
	<u>c</u>	ooled in St	ill Air			
-10	4	3	3	3	33	
0	4	12	4	6	6.5	
10	15	16	8	12	12.8	
20	17	18	5	19	14.8	
30	17	19	20	20	19 0	
40	19	21	26	20	21 5	
80	26	26			26.0	
	Co	oled in Ver	miculite			
50	14	12	14	11	12 0	
50	14	15	14	11	13.0	
70	11	9	9	(9.0	
70	14	19	20	18	17.8	
80	19	18	21	21	19.8	
90	21	20	24	20	21.3	
	<u>1</u>	Furnace Co	oled			
50	6	5	8	6	6.3	
60	15	6	6	12	9.8	
70	6	16	16	8	11.5	
80	19	9	10	9	11.8	
90	19	21	14	21	18.8	
100	21	18	21	21	20.3	
110	21	21	23		21.7	
120	25	26	26	27	26.0	
160	26	27			26.5	
				······		

TABLE A-14. KEYHOLE CHARPY IMPACT DATA FOR PROJECT STEEL "A" HEAT TREATED AT 1500 F FOR 8 HOURS

BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE

_____.

.